UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/889,137 | 07/12/2001 | Andrew Robert Oakley | 31229-173019 | 8041 |
| 26694 VENABLE LL | 7590 10/19/2007 P | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 3438 | 35 | PESIN, BORIS M | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2174 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/19/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| ₩, | Advisory Action |
|--------|-------------------------------|
| Before | the Filing of an Appeal Brief |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/889,137 | OAKLEY ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Boris Pesin | 2174 | | |

| | | 7 ti C 01ti C | t |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Boris Pesin | 2174 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | · | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 116 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | · | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | ut before or on the date of filing a N | otice of Appeal will no | ot be entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after ϵ | entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | | /Steven P. Sax/ Steven P. Sax | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of "received from the pointing device and the plurality of remote signaling devices" requires further search and consideration..